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1. PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this document is to describe the specific requirements for the accreditation of National Metrology Institutes (NMIs) and Designated Institutes (DI) by Accreditation Bodies (ABs) who are Members of AFRAC.

2. ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Accreditation Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFRAC</td>
<td>African Accreditation Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFRIMETS</td>
<td>Intra-Africa Metrology System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPM</td>
<td>International Committee of Weights and Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC</td>
<td>Calibration and Measurement Capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Designated Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILAC</td>
<td>International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCDB</td>
<td>Key Comparison Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI</td>
<td>National Metrology Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMO</td>
<td>Regional Metrology Organisation, e.g. AFRIMETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMP</td>
<td>Reference Material Producer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1 A National Metrology Institute (NMI) is the institute designated by the appropriate national governmental or other official authority of the Member State of the Metre Convention, as being responsible for national measurement standards. Associate Members of the Metre Convention may participate in the Arrangement through their RMO. The term NMI as used in the document includes both National Metrology Institutes and well as Designated Institutes.

3.2 Subsidiary Measurements are measurements not directly related to the measurement under consideration, e.g. measurements of environmental conditions.

4. INTRODUCTION

4.1 National Metrology Institutes (NMI's) seeking recognition of the International Committee of Weights and Measures (CIPM) Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) are required to satisfy specific requirements in order to have their Calibration and Measurement Capabilities (CMCs) accepted into Appendix C of the Key Comparison Database (KCDB).

4.2 The requirements include:

- 4.2.1 International comparison of measurements, known as key comparisons; or
- 4.2.2 Regional key comparisons; or
- 4.2.3 Other regional or bilateral comparisons, known as supplementary comparisons;
- 4.2.4 Review of the competence, based on results of comparisons;
- 4.2.5 Implementation and review of quality systems; and
- 4.2.6 Demonstration of competence by NMIs.

4.3 Accreditation of the NMI is one of the options available for a NMI to demonstrate both competence of the NMI and confirmation of the implementation of a quality system that satisfies the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 and where applicable ISO Guide 34.

4.4 In order for the Regional Metrology Organisation (RMO) to have confidence in the accreditation process, the AB must manage the assessment process in order to ensure that the technical assessors and/or experts used satisfy the requirements for on-site peer reviewers as recommended by the CIPM.
4.5 AFRAC in consultation with AFRIMETS must establish a database of assessors who can be used with confidence during the assessment of NMIs or DIs. This database must list the technical capability of the assessors, their written and oral language capabilities, and their assessment experience. All assessors on the list must be qualified technical assessors within their local AB and either be current or recently retired employees of the NMI within which they are associated.

4.6 The inclusion of an RMO acceptable technical expert or assessor in the assessment team must be included during initial, and/or at least once per assessment cycle, preferably during re-assessment.

4.7 ILAC has established criteria for the traceability of measurement results. [3] Where the intention of the NMI is to seek acceptance of the CMCs within Appendix C of the KCDB, the AB will be required to apply criteria acceptable to the CIPM, this criteria may supersede requirements established by the ILAC P10 Policy on Traceability of Measurement Results.

5. **SELECTION OF SUITABLE TECHNICAL EXPERTS / ASSESSORS**

5.1 Regional technical experts/assessors used for the assessment of NMIs should be qualified assessors and have relevant assessment experience from their own AB.

5.2 Additionally in order for the selection of technical experts/assessors to be acceptable to the RMO, the following guidelines established by the CIPM [2] must be adhered to:

5.2.1 The technical expert/assessor should normally have at least a degree qualification in a scientific or technological discipline. In some cases extensive relevant experience may be substituted for the formal education requirement; and

5.2.2 The technical expert/assessor should generally have five years of relevant experience, in developing or responsibility for calibration or measurement services.

5.3 The following are also considered desirable attributes for technical experts/assessors to possess:

5.3.1 Membership or past membership of the RMO Technical Committee(s);
5.3.2 Participation in key or supplementary key comparison programs;
5.3.3 Publication record in internationally refereed Metrology journals;
5.3.4 Regional or international assessment experience; and
5.3.5 Where relevant, knowledge and experience of ISO Guide 34 for Reference Material Producers (RMPs).

5.4 In cases where it is not possible to obtain the services of a technical expert who is also a qualified assessor, then the expert may be accompanied by a qualified local assessor having appropriate assessment and quality management experience.

6. **TRACEABILITY OF MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

6.1 ILAC has established the requirements for measurement traceability and these have been published in the ILAC P10 Document. The ILAC P10 makes provision for traceability to the SI system of Units via options 1, 2, 3a or 3b. [3]

6.2 An NMI may either seek metrological traceability from another appropriate source or they may realise the unit independently and then through their participation in key and regional inter-comparisons confirm these values.

6.3 In cases where the NMI intends to support their application for the submission of CMCs into the KCDB Appendix C, then the NMI seeking metrological traceability must be via another NMI (Option 1 as per the ILAC P10) except for subsidiary measurements.
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